

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	<b>10</b> .	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,926		03/10/2004	Katsuhiko Wakayama	81864.0031	3359
26021	7590	05/31/2006		EXAMINER	
	I & HAR RAND A'	RTSON L.L.P.	GOFF II, JOHN L		
SUITE 1		VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS AN	LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			1733	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			1,0			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/797,926	WAKAYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John L. Goff	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONe, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	March 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	· ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-14:					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	opplication No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/797,926 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

انو

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-12, drawn to a method of bonding, classified in class 156, subclass
     308.2.
  - II. Claims 13-23, drawn to a method of plating, classified in class 427, subclass 531.
  - III. Claims 24-26, drawn to a method of heat treating, classified in class 148, subclass 121.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require plating the soft magnetic material. The subcombination has separate utility such as forming a single plated soft magnetic layer and insulating layer.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

8

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require heat treating after forming a laminate of a plurality of soft magnetic layers with insulating layers interposed. The subcombination has separate utility such as heat treating soft magnetic layers under conditions set for any reason other than depending upon the magnetic properties required of the laminate.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as for forming a single plated soft magnetic layer and insulating layer. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Lawrence McClure on 5/26/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but the examiner was unable to reach applicants representative.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,926 Page 4

Art Unit: 1733

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John L. Goff** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1216**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:15 AM 3:45 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,926

Art Unit: 1733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

John L. Goff

gh to